Properties of the wind outflow from the cool components in symbiotic binaries

## Natalia Shagatova, Augustin Skopal

Astronomical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences Tatranská Lomnica, Slovakia





# Mass-loss mechanism

## S-type systems

### D-type systems

Mira-star

# $\leftarrow$ red giant $\rightarrow$

# Mass-loss mechanism

### S-type systems

# $\leftarrow$ red giant $\rightarrow$

#### D-type systems

# - Mira-star -

radiative acceleration of dust grains

Höfner 2015, ASP Conf. Ser. 497, 333

# Mass-loss mechanism

### S-type systems



Höfner 2015, ASP Conf. Ser. 497, 333

## Velocity profile of the wind

### - canonical β-law



- steeper v(r) for cooler stars



Decin et al. 2015, *A&A 574*, A5

## v(r) for red giants in symbiotic binaries



#### - obtaining H<sup>0</sup> column densities from **Rayleigh scattering**



- obtaining H<sup>o</sup> column densities from Rayleigh scattering



- obtaining H<sup>o</sup> column densities from Rayleigh scattering







- obtaining H<sup>o</sup> column densities from Rayleigh scattering



- obtaining H<sup>o</sup> column densities from Rayleigh scattering



### Comparison of resulting models



#### - more precise velocity profiles of the wind



 $\tilde{n}_{\rm H}(b) = \frac{n_1}{b} + \frac{n_K}{b^K}$  - formula for the total hydrogen column density

object	i	E/I <sup>1)</sup>	$n_1[10^{23}]$	n <sub>K</sub>	K	ξ	$X^{\mathrm{H}+}$	$\chi^2_{\rm red}$	model
EG And	$70^{\circ}$	Е	4.54	$5.12 \times 10^{30}$	21	$6.40 \times 10^{7}$	1.75	1.67	Ι
	$80^{\circ}$	E	3.87	$1.15 \times 10^{27}$	14	$1.38 \times 10^{4}$	1.85	1.60	J
	90°	E	3.40	$4.83 \times 10^{25}$	10	$5.49 \times 10^{2}$	1.88	1.63	Κ
SY Mus	$80^{\circ}$	E	8.00	$1.50 \times 10^{27}$	9	$8.94 \times 10^{3}$	2.30	1.02	L
	$84^{\circ}$	E	6.20	$5.30 \times 10^{26}$	8	$2.94 \times 10^{3}$	2.50	0.94	Μ
	90°	E	6.10	$5.00 \times 10^{26}$	8	$2.82 \times 10^{3}$	2.53	1.39	Ν
	$84^{\circ}$	Ι	2.45	$1.00 \times 10^{27}$	13	$1.81 \times 10^{4}$	16.0	2.33	Ο

Notes: <sup>1)</sup> E – egress data, I – ingress data

Object	i	$\dot{M}_{\rm sp}$ [ $M_{\odot}$ yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	model
EG And	70°	$2.11 \times 10^{-6}$	Ι
	$80^{\circ}$	$1.80 \times 10^{-6}$	J
	90°	$1.58 \times 10^{-6}$	Κ
SY Mus	$80^{\circ}$	$4.26 \times 10^{-6}$	L
	$84^{\circ}$	$3.30 \times 10^{-6}$	Μ
	90°	$3.24 \times 10^{-6}$	Ν
	84°	$1.30 \times 10^{-6}$	0

Object	i	$\dot{M}_{\rm sp} \left[ M_{\odot}  {\rm yr}^{-1} \right]$	model	
EG And	70°	$2.11 \times 10^{-6}$	Ι	
	$80^{\circ}$	$1.80 \times 10^{-6}$	J	
	90°	$1.58 \times 10^{-6}$	Κ	
SY Mus	$80^{\circ}$	$4.26 \times 10^{-6}$	L	
	$84^{\circ}$	$3.30 \times 10^{-6}$	Μ	
	90°	$3.24 \times 10^{-6}$	Ν	
	84°	$1.30 \times 10^{-6}$	0	

<u>Mass-loss rate</u> for giants in S-type symbiotic systems from <u>line-of-sight</u> <u>independent</u> methods  $\approx 10^{-7} M_{\odot}$ /year

> Seaquist et al. 1993, *ApJ* 410, 260 Mikołajewska et al. 2002, Adv. Space Res. 30, 2045 Skopal 2005, *A&A* 440, 995

<u></u>				
Object	i	$\dot{M}_{\rm sp} \left[ M_{\odot}  {\rm yr}^{-1} \right]$	model	
EG And	70°	$2.11 \times 10^{-6}$	Ι	
	$80^{\circ}$	$1.80 \times 10^{-6}$	J	
	90°	$1.58 \times 10^{-6}$	K	
SY Mus	$80^{\circ}$	$4.26 \times 10^{-6}$	L	
	$84^{\circ}$	$3.30 \times 10^{-6}$	Μ	
	90°	$3.24 \times 10^{-6}$	Ν	
	84°	$1.30 \times 10^{-6}$	0	



plane of observations ≈ orbital plane for eclipsing binary systems <u>Mass-loss rate</u> for giants in S-type symbiotic systems from <u>line-of-sight</u> independent methods  $\approx 10^{-7} M_{\odot}$ /year

Seaquist et al. 1993, *ApJ* 410, 260 Mikołajewska et al. 2002, Adv. Space Res. 30, 2045 Skopal 2005, *A*&A 440, 995

1 <u></u>				8	
Object	i	$\dot{M}_{\rm sp} \left[ M_{\odot}  {\rm yr}^{-1} \right]$	model		
EG And	70°	$2.11 \times 10^{-6}$	Ι		
	$80^{\circ}$	$1.80 \times 10^{-6}$	J		
	90°	$1.58 \times 10^{-6}$	Κ		
SY Mus	$80^{\circ}$	$4.26 \times 10^{-6}$	L		
	$84^{\circ}$	$3.30 \times 10^{-6}$	Μ		
	90°	$3.24 \times 10^{-6}$	Ν		
	84°	$1.30 \times 10^{-6}$	0		

<u>Mass-loss rate</u> for giants in S-type symbiotic systems from <u>line-of-sight</u> <u>independent</u> methods  $\approx 10^{-7} M_{\odot}$ /year

> Seaquist et al. 1993, *ApJ* 410, 260 Mikołajewska et al. 2002, Adv. Space Res. 30, 2045 Skopal 2005, *A*&A 440, 995



plane of observations ≈ orbital plane for eclipsing binary systems Indication of the wind focusing towards orbital plane

#### Orbital inclination of Z Andromedae



## Asymmetric light curves of symbiotic stars





- i = 84°
- white dwarf + red giant
- asymmetry in UV light curves







- white dwarf + red giant

- asymmetry in UV light curves





Dumm et al. (1999), A&A 349, 169: asymmetric wind distribution - possible cause of the asymmetry in LCs





Can we justify it in a quantitative way?

1.4

# Model with unified velocity profile



Assumption: gradual change of the velocity profile from  $v_e(r)$  to  $v_{in}(r)$ .

- interconnection by a smooth function

## Model with unified velocity profile



# UV continuum light curves modelling

Sources of radiation:

$$F_{\lambda}(\varphi) = F_{\lambda}^{\rm h}(\varphi) + F_{\lambda}^{\rm n}(\varphi)$$

WHITE DWARF  $F_{\lambda}^{\rm h}(\varphi) = \pi B_{\lambda}(T_{\rm h})e^{-\tau_{\lambda}(\varphi)}$ 

#### NEBULA

 $F_{\lambda}^{n}(\varphi) = \alpha_{\lambda} \sin[2\pi(\varphi - 0.25)] + \beta_{\lambda}$ 

#### **RED GIANT**

Attenuation:

$$\tau_{\lambda}(\varphi) = \tau^{0}_{\lambda}(\varphi) + \tau^{+}_{\lambda}(\varphi)$$

$$\tau_{\lambda}^{0}(\varphi) = \sigma_{\text{Ray}}(\lambda)n_{\text{H}^{0}}(\varphi) + \kappa_{\text{H}^{-}}(\lambda)n_{\text{H}^{-}}(\varphi)$$
$$\tau_{\lambda}^{+}(\varphi) = \sigma_{\text{e}^{-}}^{+}n_{\text{e}^{-}}^{+}(\varphi) + \sigma_{\text{H}^{0}}^{+}(\lambda, \text{T}_{\text{e}})n_{\text{H}^{0}}^{+}(\varphi)$$
$$n_{\text{e}^{-}}^{+}(\varphi) = 1.2 n_{\text{H}^{+}}^{+}(\varphi)$$

- geometrical attenuation of nebular radiation modelled by a sine wave

- negtectable contribution in UV





### Future plans

- to model the Ha-line profile for symbiotic system EG And



- the Hα-line profile shows variability with orbital motion
- available spectra from Stará Lesná Observatory and ARAS database
- our velocity profiles can be used to model the absorption component





- derivation of the wind velocity profiles of giants in EG And and SY Mus



- derivation of the wind velocity profiles of giants in EG And and SY Mus

- indication of the wind focusing towards orbital plane

- derivation of the wind velocity profiles of giants in EG And and SY Mus

- indication of the wind focusing towards orbital plane

- determination of the orbital inclination of symbiotic prototype Z And

- derivation of the wind velocity profiles of giants in EG And and SY Mus

- indication of the wind focusing towards orbital plane
- determination of the orbital inclination of symbiotic prototype Z And
- justification of the origin of the UV continuum light curves asymmetry of SY Mus: LC asymmetry is caused by the asymmetric distribution of the wind from RG.

- derivation of the wind velocity profiles of giants in EG And and SY Mus

- indication of the wind focusing towards orbital plane
- determination of the orbital inclination of symbiotic prototype Z And
- justification of the origin of the UV continuum light curves asymmetry of SY Mus: LC asymmetry is caused by the asymmetric distribution of the wind from RG.

 planned application of the wind model: to explain the variability of profile of the Hα-line of EG And along the orbital motion

- derivation of the wind velocity profiles of giants in EG And and SY Mus

- indication of the wind focusing towards orbital plane
- determination of the orbital inclination of symbiotic prototype Z And
- justification of the origin of the UV continuum light curves asymmetry of SY Mus: LC asymmetry is caused by the asymmetric distribution of the wind from RG.

 planned application of the wind model: to explain the variability of profile of the Hα-line of EG And along the orbital motion

Thank you for attention!